

**Exhibit R**  
**Agreement on Attorneys' Fees, Expenses and Costs**

This Agreement on Attorneys' Fees, Expenses, and Costs ("Fee Agreement") is entered between Teva, Allergan, and the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee appointed in the multidistrict litigation in the Northern District of Ohio, *In re National Prescription Opiate Litigation*, No. 1:17-MD-2804 ("MDL PEC"), in connection with the Teva Global Opioid Settlement Agreement ("Teva Agreement") and the Allergan Public Global Opioid Settlement Agreement ("Allergan Agreement"). This Fee Agreement becomes effective on the Effective Date of the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement or the date that the Consent Judgments anticipated under the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement become final in 25 Settling States (whichever is later).

**I. Definitions**

- A. This Fee Agreement incorporates all defined terms in the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement, unless otherwise defined herein, and shall be interpreted in a manner consistent with the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement.
- B. "*Allergan.*" Allergan Finance, LLC (f/k/a Actavis, Inc., which, in turn, was f/k/a/ Watson Pharmaceuticals, Inc.) and Allergan Limited (f/k/a Allergan plc, which, in turn, was f/k/a Actavis plc). *Allergan* does not include Teva Pharmaceuticals Industries Ltd. ("*Teva Ltd.*"), Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. ("*Teva USA*"), Cephalon, Inc. ("*Cephalon*"), Actavis LLC (f/k/a Actavis Inc.) ("*Actavis LLC*"), Watson Laboratories, Inc. ("*Watson*"), Actavis Pharma, Inc. (f/k/a Watson Pharma, Inc.) ("*Actavis Pharma*"), Actavis Elizabeth LLC ("*Actavis Elizabeth*"), Actavis Kadian LLC ("*Actavis Kadian*"), Actavis Laboratories FL, Inc. (f/k/a Watson Laboratories, Inc. - Florida) ("*Actavis Labs FL*"), Actavis Laboratories UT, Inc. (f/k/a Watson Laboratories, Inc. - Utah) ("*Actavis Labs UT*"), Actavis Mid Atlantic LLC ("*Actavis Mid*"), Actavis South Atlantic LLC ("*Actavis South*"), Actavis Totowa LLC ("*Actavis Totowa*"), or Anda, Inc. ("*Anda*").
- C. "*Applicant.*" Any Attorney or MDL Participating Counsel who seeks an award of attorneys' fees from the Attorney Fee Fund pursuant to the procedures established by the MDL Court and the Fee Panel.
- D. "*Attorney.*" Any of the following retained through a legal contingency fee or hourly fee contract: a solo practitioner, multi-attorney law firm, or other legal representative of a Participating Subdivision or MDL Participating Counsel. This does not include Subdivision in-house attorneys.
- E. "*Attorney Fee Fund.*" An account consisting of funds allocated to pay attorneys' fees approved pursuant to Section III of this Fee Agreement established by Order of and under the ongoing jurisdiction of the MDL Court, as provided below.
- F. "*Common Benefit.*" Work performed for the benefit of all Participating Subdivisions or Tribal Nations, including, but not limited to, pretrial matters, discovery, trial preparation, trial, settlement negotiations, and all other work that advances the interests of the Participating Subdivisions.

- G. “*Common Benefit Fund.*” The sub fund of the Attorney Fee Fund described in subsection III.E.
- H. “*Contingency Fee Fund.*” The sub fund of the Attorney Fee Fund described in subsection III.F.
- I. “*Cost and Expense Fund Administrator.*” The administrator appointed by the MDL Court on August 12, 2021 (MDL Docket No. 3828), to administer the Cost Fund and its sub funds as provided in the Fee Agreement.
- J. “*Court Common Benefit Fund.*” The Common Benefit Fund established by the MDL Court in its orders of July 22, 2021, MDL Docket No. 3794, and May 9, 2022, MDL Docket No. 4428.
- K. “*Fee Entitlement.*” Any right, entitlement, or expectation, including but not limited to a fee contract, contingent fee contract, agreement, referral arrangement, co-counsel arrangement, State Back-Stop agreement, or any other arrangement by which counsel could receive compensation or other consideration. For the avoidance of doubt, the scope of Fee Entitlement under subparagraph III.G.3.a does not include any Attorneys’ fees associated with representation of a State.
- L. “*Fee Panel.*” The three-person panel appointed by the MDL Court on August 12, 2021 (MDL Docket No. 3828), to administer and make recommendations for the allocation and distribution of the Attorney Fee Fund and its sub funds as provided in the Fee Agreement.
- M. “*Later Litigating State*” means a State that first files a lawsuit bringing a Released Claim against a Released Entity after the Preliminary Agreement Date.
- N. “*MDL Court.*” United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio Eastern Division, Case No. 1:17-md-2804, Judge Dan Aaron Polster.
- O. “*MDL Direct Cost Fund.*” The cost fund described in subparagraph II.A.3.a below.
- P. “*MDL Participating Counsel.*” MDL Participating Counsel includes an attorney or firm authorized by MDL 2804 Lead Counsel to perform work for the Common Benefit of Participating Subdivisions. By way of example, it would include insurance counsel and appellant counsel.
- Q. “*MDL PEC.*” The Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee appointed by the MDL Court.
- R. “*Non-Participating Litigating Subdivision.*” A Litigating Subdivision that is not a Participating Subdivision.
- S. “*Non-Participating State.*” A State that is not a Participating State.

- T. *“Participating Litigating Subdivision.”* A Litigating Subdivision that is also a Participating Subdivision.
- U. *“Participation Agreement.”* An agreement executed by an Attorney that acknowledges the obligation to pay an appropriate MDL Common Benefit Assessment.
- V. *“Qualified Tribal Representation.”* Representation by an attorney of a Participating Tribal Government regarding Released Claims against Released Entities. Such counsel are eligible for Common Benefit Fee consideration. The Teva Tribal Global Settlement and the Allergan Tribal Global Settlement will provide for the contribution to the Common Benefit Fund as determined by the MDL Court.
- W. *“Qualifying Representation.”* Legal services provided for representation of the MDL PEC or Participating Litigating Subdivision regarding Released Claims against Released Entities.
- X. *“State Back-Stop Agreement.”* Any agreement by a Settling State and private counsel for Participating Subdivisions in that State (or legislation enacted in that State) to provide, adjust, or guarantee attorneys’ fees and costs, whether from the Attorney Fee Fund or any other source recognized in the agreement or legislation.<sup>1</sup>
- Y. *“Subdivision Cost and Expense Fund.”* The fund created to pay approved Subdivision and Tribal Nations costs and expenses as set forth in subparagraph II.A.3.b.
- Z. *“Teva”* means (i) Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd. and (ii) all of its respective past and present direct or indirect parents, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, joint ventures, predecessors, successors, assigns, including but not limited to Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., the Actavis Generic Entities, and Anda Inc.

## **II. MDL Direct Cost Fund and Subdivision Cost and Expense Fund (“Cost Fund”)**

- A. The total Cost Fund shall be \$30 million dollars funded as set forth below.
  - 1. Total cost to be paid by Teva in each of the relevant Payment Years<sup>2</sup> under this Agreement shall be up to the following amounts, subject to the provisions set forth below:

---

<sup>1</sup> Nothing herein shall be understood to indicate approval for additional State Back-Stop Agreements or modifications of existing State Back-Stop Agreements.

<sup>2</sup> Payment Year shall have the same meaning for Teva as set forth in the Teva Agreement and Teva Exhibits M-1 and M-3. Payment Year shall carry the same definition for Allergan as set forth in the Allergan Agreement and Allergan Exhibits M-1 and M-2.

	Cost Fund
Payment Year 1	\$9,000,000.00
Payment Year 2	\$9,000,000.00

2. Total cost to be paid by Allergan in each of the relevant Payment Years under this Agreement shall be up to the following amounts, subject to the provisions set forth below:

	Cost Fund
Payment Year 1	\$6,000,000.00
Payment Year 2	\$6,000,000.00

3. The Cost Fund shall be split into the MDL Direct Cost Fund and the Subdivision Cost and Expense Fund.

- a. The MDL Direct Cost Fund shall be Seven Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$7,500,000), payable 50% in Year One, and 50% in Year Two. The MDL Direct Cost Fund shall make payment without any delay to reimburse the MDL PEC for an agreed-to portion of the expenses incurred, provided the costs have been approved by the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator appointed by the Court. The MDL Direct Cost Fund will be paid directly to the MDL Opiate Capital Account. The Cost and Expense Fund Administrator may include costs incurred by the MDL PEC in furtherance of litigation, mediation, implementation, and management of the Settlements.
- b. The Subdivision Cost and Expense Fund shall be Twenty-Two Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$22,500,000), payable 50% in Year One and 50% in Year Two.
- c. It is the intention of the Parties that the Cost Fund shall be administered by the Cost Fund and Expense Fund Administrator (MDL Docket No. 3828), who will be governed by the provisions of this Agreement and shall design the process and procedures for the allocation of costs pursuant to this Agreement and the MDL Court's Order.
- d. The costs of the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall be paid from the Cost Fund and allocated by the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator between the MDL Direct Cost Fund and the Subdivision Cost and Expense Fund to fairly charge each fund the cost incurred in implementing and supervising the specific fund.
- e. The Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall set the process and procedures for submission of and criteria for applications for payment of

Subdivisions' and Tribal Nations' costs and expenses. The Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall receive and evaluate applications from Participating Litigating Subdivisions and litigating Tribal Nations, whether filed in Federal Court or State Court, to seek reimbursement for eligible costs in pursuit of claims against Allergan or Teva. The process shall require a showing that the costs or expenses sought were reasonably incurred in furtherance of active litigation of a designated state or federal bellwether trial-set case, or Common Benefit. The Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall require transparency from all applicants as to any other sources for compensating Attorneys for Subdivisions and Tribal Nations for costs incurred. If funds remain after the reimbursement of approved out-of-pocket costs, the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator may consider reasonable and appropriate payment for client time, costs, or expenses incurred by recognized trial bellwether plaintiffs. At the conclusion of the process, any funds not allocated by the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall be transferred to the Common Benefit Fund established in this Exhibit R.

4. In the event that States and Subdivisions enter into an additional global settlement with a party or parties other than Teva or Allergan that is (a) under the jurisdiction of the MDL Court in MDL No. 2804, (b) creates a separate cost fund, and (c) unless the parties agree to another date, such agreement has an effective date prior to June 30, 2023, the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall have the authority to aggregate the Cost Fund with the cost fund created under that global settlement agreement. The Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall have the authority to address the appropriate procedures and required information to allow the costs to be funded from the appropriate cost fund or shared by two or more cost funds.

### **III. Attorney Fee Fund**

- A. An Attorney Fee Fund shall be paid in the following amounts and years:

	Teva Shall Pay	Allergan Shall Pay
Payment Year 1	\$ 46,215,837.93	\$ 40,778,855.53
Payment Year 2	\$ 46,215,837.93	\$ 40,778,855.53
Payment Year 3	\$ 55,215,837.92	\$ 46,778,855.53
Payment Year 4	\$ 55,215,837.92	\$ 46,778,855.53
Payment Year 5	\$ 55,215,837.92	\$ 0.00
Payment Year 6	\$ 55,215,837.92	\$ 0.00

- B. The Attorney Fee Fund shall consist of the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund.
1. It is the intention of the Parties that the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund shall be administered by the Fee Panel (MDL Docket No. 3828), which will be governed by the provisions of this Fee Agreement and shall design the process and procedures for the allocation of fees pursuant to this Fee Agreement and the MDL Court's Order.
- C. The fees to be paid under this Fee Agreement are available for Attorneys engaged in Qualifying Representations and Qualified Tribal Representations only. Fees to be paid under this Fee Agreement are not available prior to the Effective Date of the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement or if the Teva Agreement does not proceed past Teva's determination in subsection XI.A of the Teva Agreement or if the Allergan Agreement does not proceed past Allergan's determination in subsection X.A of the Allergan Agreement. Fees to be paid under this Fee Agreement are not available for representation of States, Non-Participating Subdivisions or Non-Litigating Subdivisions and are not available for representation of private hospitals, third-party payors, NAS claimants, personal injury/wrongful death claimants, or any entity other than Participating Litigating Subdivisions. In addition, fees under this Fee Agreement are not available for representation of any individual or entity in matters other than those claims against Released Entities, but may include a reasonable share of representations that involve development of facts for pursuit of opioid-related claims against multiple defendants in the pharmacy, manufacturing, and distribution chain.
- D. *Attorney Fee Fund and Sub Funds.*
1. There shall be a split of the Attorney Fee Fund into the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund. The split shall be 40% to the Contingency Fee Fund and 60% to the Common Benefit Fund.
2. In no event shall Teva or Allergan be required to pay more into the Attorney Fee Fund in any Payment Year than the maximum amount specified for that Payment Year in subsection III.A., which amounts are reflected in Exhibit M to the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement. The amounts allocated to the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund set by the Fee Panel shall be subject to the reductions and offsets set forth below.
3. Awards of fees from the Contingency Fee Fund shall be available to Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions eligible to receive an allocation under the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement, as set forth in Exhibits F-2 and G to the Teva Agreement and Exhibits F and G to the Allergan Agreement, and shall be made applying the Mathematical Model attached as Exhibit "A" to this Fee Agreement. The collection of the data and calculations for the Mathematical Model has been a cooperative effort among private counsel for a large number of Litigating Subdivisions. The analysis has been spearheaded by Joseph Tann and Andrew Arnold. The Fee Panel is

encouraged to continue working with those counsel in application of the Model. The Fee Panel shall oversee the application of the Model and resolve any questions or disputes concerning the eligibility of a Counsel to participate as required in subsection III.G. The Panel is empowered to hear disputes concerning and ensure the accuracy of the mathematical calculation.

4. As to awards from the Contingency Fee Fund, there shall be no right of appeal.
5. Any appeal of an award of the Fee Panel from the Common Benefit Fund will be made to the MDL Court and be reviewed under an abuse of discretion standard.

E. *Common Benefit Fund (60% of the Attorney Fee Fund).*

1. Funds in the Attorney Fee Fund shall be allocated to the Common Benefit Fund according to the schedule set forth below, subject to the adjustments described in paragraph III.E.6. The payments are to be made on the following yearly schedule, subject to the adjustments set forth below:

	Teva Shall Pay	Allergan Shall Pay
Payment Year 1	\$ 27,729,502.76	\$ 24,467,313.32
Payment Year 2	\$ 27,729,502.76	\$ 24,467,313.32
Payment Year 3	\$ 33,129,502.75	\$ 28,067,313.32
Payment Year 4	\$ 33,129,502.75	\$ 28,067,313.32
Payment Year 5	\$ 33,129,502.75	\$ 0.00
Payment Year 6	\$ 33,129,502.75	\$ 0.00

2. The Common Benefit Fund shall be available to compensate Attorneys engaged in Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions and Qualified Tribal Representation of Tribal Participating Governments who:
  - a. have performed work for the Common Benefit of all Participating Subdivisions and/or Tribal Nations consistent with the provisions to the guidelines established by Judge Polster set forth in MDL 2804 and the May 1, 2018 Order, under docket number 358, which is incorporated herein by reference; and
  - b. satisfy the eligibility criteria set forth in subsection III.G.
3. For purposes of Common Benefit Fund distribution, Attorneys representing Tribal Nations litigating against Teva or Allergan have also reached a settlement for Released Claims with Teva and Allergan. These settlements shall be the subject of separate agreements with Teva and Allergan. Attorneys representing

Tribal Nations are eligible for Common Benefit consideration, provided such agreements with Teva and Allergan became effective under their terms. Such Attorneys must meet the eligibility criteria in subsection III.G.

4. For purposes of Common Benefit Fund distribution, MDL Participating Counsel not engaged in Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions but who performed work for the Common Benefit pursuant to authorization from the MDL Co-Leads and meet the eligibility criteria in subsection III.G shall be eligible.
5. The Common Benefit Fund shall be overseen by the Fee Panel, which shall determine the allocation of funds to eligible Attorneys consistent with this Fee Agreement and the May 1, 2018 Order.
6. In assessing the benefits that an Applicant has conferred to Participating Subdivisions (including non-Litigating Subdivisions) and/or Tribes for purposes of any compensation decision, the Fee Panel shall give significant weight to the extent to which (i) the Applicant and his or her clients have contributed to increasing (or reducing) the Initial Participation in the Teva Agreement or the Allergan Agreement, and (ii) the Applicant and his or her clients have contributed to increasing (or reducing) the amounts achieved under Incentive Payments A-D through participation in the Teva Agreement, including the Teva Tribal Agreement, or the Allergan Agreement, including the Allergan Tribal Agreement. The Fee Panel shall also consider additional fee recoveries the Applicant may potentially obtain, including, but not limited to, from attorney fee funds under other settlement agreements, State Back-Stop Agreements, representations of States or Tribal Nations, representations of other clients in opioids-related matters, or through the representation of Subdivision clients, whether they participated in the Teva Agreement or Allergan Agreement or not. It is the intent of this provision to recognize that the goal of the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement is to provide for maximum participation by the Subdivisions, maximum abatement funding for all Subdivisions nationally, and the maximum peace for Released Entities. Therefore, representing one or more Non-Participating States or Non-Participating Subdivisions does not further the goal of the Teva Agreement or Allergan Agreement, and should not be considered Common Benefit because it does not increase funds available to Participating Subdivisions' abatement programs. Representing one or more Later Litigating States or Later Litigating Subdivisions is antithetical to the Teva Settlement and the Allergan Agreement and detracts from Common Benefit. The Fee Panel shall consider this concept of "common detriment" set forth in this paragraph in all of its decision making with respect to the allocation of the Attorney Fee Fund among Applicants, as well as, in its discretion, any offsets provided to Teva or Allergan as set forth in subsection III.H. The Fee Panel shall consider the totality of the Applicant's Participating Litigating Subdivisions as compared to the Applicant's Non-Participating Litigating Subdivisions; the Parties recognize that, although the goal is for 100% participation, Applicants with a greater number of clients have a greater probability of having one or more Non-Participating Litigating Subdivisions. As used in this paragraph, "client" or



“representing” a Subdivision shall include any Litigating Subdivision as to which the Applicant has a Fee Entitlement.

7. As set forth in subsection III.H, the Fee Panel must consider the factors described in paragraph III.E.6 to determine how and whether to reduce the amounts to be paid by Teva or Allergan under this Fee Agreement and to determine how to allocate funds among Applicants. They may also, at their discretion, consider other factors. Any reduction in payment obligation or credit to be given Teva or Allergan in this Fee Agreement shall be applied against the last Payment Year for that defendant and working backwards. Any reduction to an Applicant not credited to Teva or Allergan shall be allocated to attorneys whose Litigating Subdivision clients participated in the settlement by the Initial Participation Date.
8. The MDL PEC will seek, and the Attorneys General for Settling States, Teva, and Allergan, will not oppose, a Common Benefit Fee Order requiring an assessment of 7.5% on the gross recovery (by judgment or settlement) of any Non-Participating Subdivision that is subject to the federal court jurisdiction, represented by a MDL PEC firm, represented by any Attorney receiving fees from the Common Benefit Fund, represented by any Attorney that signed a Participation Agreement or paid in a case otherwise under the jurisdiction of the MDL Court.
9. If Teva and Allergan agree to go forward with their respective Agreements under subsections XI.A and X.A of their Agreements, they shall pay the full Common Benefit (60%) Fee into the Common Benefit Fund without regard to the number of Settling States or Participating Subdivisions. Should Teva and/or Allergan pay a judgment to, or settle with, a Non-Participating State or a Non-Participating Litigating Subdivision subsequent to the Reference Date, and such settlement or judgment results in a common benefit fee assessment paid into the Common Benefit Fund or the Court Common Benefit Fund, the following shall apply:
  - a. Teva or Allergan shall be credited dollar-for-dollar for the amount paid for the fee assessment up to 7.5% of the abatement amount derived from the application of the State Global Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit F-1 or the abatement amount derived from the application of the Subdivision and Special District Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit G that the Non-Participating State or Non-Participating Litigating Subdivision would have received if it had participated in the Settlement Agreement;
  - b. Except as set forth below in subparagraph III.E.9.c, for any settlement or judgment that meets the requirements of paragraph III.E.9 that exceeds the abatement amount derived from the application of the State Global Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit F-1 or the abatement amount derived from the application of the Subdivision and Special District Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit G, no credits shall be taken against Teva or Allergan’s obligation to make payments into the Common Benefit Fund for that portion of the settlement or judgment in excess of the abatement amount derived from the application of the State Global Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit

F-1 or the abatement amount derived from the application of the Subdivision and Special District Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit G;

- c. For any settlement or judgment that meets the requirements of paragraph III.E.9 that exceeds the abatement amount derived from the application of the State Global Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit F-1 or the abatement amount derived from the application of the Subdivision and Special District Allocation Percentage as set forth in Exhibit G that the Later Litigating State or Later Litigating Subdivision would have received if it had participated in the Settlement Agreement, where the Later Litigating State or Later Litigating Subdivision is represented by any member of the MDL PEC or that member's law firm, Teva or Allergan shall be credited dollar-for-dollar for the full amount of the 7.5% common benefit fee assessment paid into the Common Benefit Fund or the Court Common Benefit Fund;
- d. If a credit is made to Allergan or Teva pursuant to subparagraph III.E.9.c., then that amount shall be calculated as a direct reduction to any common benefit fee award made under this Fee Agreement to the MDL PEC firm(s) that represented the Later Litigating State or Later Litigating Subdivision;
- e. Any credits shall be taken first against Teva's or Allergan's payment to the Common Benefit Fund in Teva's or Allergan's respective final Payment Year as set forth in paragraph III.E.1 (for Teva Year 6; for Allergan Year 4), up to the full amount of Teva's or Allergan's final year payment obligation, then from the next-to-final Payment Year's payment obligation, and so on;
- f. Teva and Allergan shall not be entitled to any credits against the Common Benefit Fund for settlements or judgments paid after Teva's or Allergan's final fee Payment Year.

F. *Contingency Fee Fund (40% of the Attorney Fee Fund).*

- 1. Funds from the Attorney Fee Fund shall be allocated to the Contingency Fee Fund on the following yearly schedule, subject to the adjustments set forth below:

	Teva Shall Pay	Allergan Shall Pay
Payment Year 1	\$ 18,486,335.17	\$ 16,311,542.21
Payment Year 2	\$ 18,486,335.17	\$ 16,311,542.21
Payment Year 3	\$ 22,086,335.17	\$ 18,711,542.21
Payment Year 4	\$ 22,086,335.17	\$ 18,711,542.21
Payment Year 5	\$ 22,086,335.17	\$ 0.00
Payment Year 6	\$ 22,086,335.17	\$ 0.00

2. The Contingency Fee Fund shall be available to compensate Attorneys engaged in Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions that meet the criteria set forth in subsection III.G.
  - a. The Contingency Fee Fund shall be available to Attorneys who represent Litigating Subdivisions that are Participating Subdivisions, whether their actions are filed in state or federal court, and meet the eligibility criteria of subsection III.G.
  - b. Participation in the Contingency Fee Fund by counsel that have a case that is not subject to the jurisdiction of the MDL Court shall not create, provide, or waive jurisdiction of the MDL Court over that Litigating Subdivision, that case or Attorneys, other than to oversee the fairness of the distribution process, and enforcement of this Fee Agreement.
  - c. Under the terms of the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement Litigating Subdivisions must become a Participating Litigating Subdivision under both Agreements to be eligible to participate. Therefore, Exhibit R applies jointly to Teva and Allergan with the Attorneys' Fee Fund and MDL Cost Fund to include the combined payment from Teva and Allergan.
3. Teva and/or Allergan shall each be entitled to a pro-rata reduction to their own portion of the Contingency Fee Fund payment(s) in accordance with subsection III.F.4., unless, by the Payment Date for the Initial Year Payment, (i) 41 states are Settling States for Teva or 43 states are Settling States for Allergan, (ii) 98% of all Litigating Subdivisions are Participating Subdivisions and/or Subdivisions Subject to a Bar, Case-Specific Resolution, and, (iii) 97% of all Non-Litigating Subdivisions with Populations over 10,000 as listed in Exhibit I are Participating Subdivisions and/or Subdivisions Subject to a Bar, Case-Specific Resolution, in which case no offset shall be applied.
4. If the participation conditions in paragraph III.F.3 are not met, then the amounts owed by Teva and/or Allergan to the Contingency Fee Fund shall be reduced as follows:
  - a. For Non-Settling States, the Contingency Fee Fund payments shall first be reduced by the amounts identified by the Fee Panel, pursuant to paragraph III.H.7, that would have been owed to counsel for Litigating Subdivisions in Non-Settling States, had those States and those Litigating Subdivisions been Settling States and Participating Subdivisions.
  - b. Following the calculation in subparagraph III.F.4.a., the Contingency Fee Fund payments shall be reduced to reflect the non-joinder of Litigating Subdivisions in Settling States by subtracting the amounts identified by the Fee Panel, pursuant to paragraph III.H.7, that would have been owed to counsel for Non-Participating Litigating Subdivisions in Settling States had such Litigating Subdivisions been Participating Subdivisions.

5. In the event that after the date of the Settlement Agreement Teva, prior to the Effective Date of the Teva Agreement, or Allergan, prior to the Effective Date of the Allergan Agreement, settles with any Litigating Subdivision that would have been eligible to participate in the defendant's Agreement, and, under such settlement agreement pays attorneys' fees, the Fee Panel shall treat those Litigating Subdivisions as Participating Litigating Subdivisions and, applying the same criteria applicable to all Attorneys for Participating Litigating Subdivisions, determine what amount they would have been paid from the Contingency Fee Fund if they had become Participating Subdivisions under the Teva Agreement or the Allergan Agreement without such prior settlement. That sum, rather than being paid to the Attorney for the previously settling Litigating Subdivision, shall be credited and/or returned to Teva or Allergan as if determined under (a)(ii) above, except that such credit shall not be greater than the amount paid to the Attorneys under the Litigating Subdivision's prior settlement agreement and credits apply equally over the Fee Payment Years.
6. During the period between the Preliminary Agreement Date and the Effective Date, the MDL PEC, as well as Litigating Subdivisions' Attorneys, shall make best efforts to cease litigation activity against Teva and Allergan, including by jointly seeking stays or severance of claims against Teva and Allergan, where feasible, or postponements if a motion to stay or sever is not feasible or is denied, so long as such actions are not otherwise detrimental to the Litigating Subdivision.

G. *Eligibility.*

1. It is the intention of all parties participating in the Fee Panel process that there should be total transparency to the Fee Panel and to all fund participants. In connection with the process to be developed by the Fee Panel, any and all monies in attorney's fees received or awarded, including prior or future Contingency Fees, Common Benefit Fees, referral fees, expenses paid, promises for payment, or any other Fee Entitlement, to any Applicant in any opioid litigation shall be disclosed to the Fee Panel as a condition of participating in the Attorney Fee Fund and prior to an award from the Fee Panel. Any payment, expectation of payment or perceived entitlement to participate in a State Back-Stop Agreement or any other agreement reached with a Settling State or any Subdivision or any other source regarding payment of fees must be disclosed to the Fee Panel. Similarly, any right to payment from any other fund, for example a fund for payment to lawyers representing Settling States or Tribal Nations or Subdivisions shall be disclosed to the Fee Panel. Because it is anticipated that there will be multiple firms listed on contingent fee agreements with Litigating Subdivisions, the Fee Panel shall establish procedures, with input from Attorneys for Participating Litigating Subdivisions, for who should petition for fees from such groups and to whom the fee shall be paid and thereafter distributed to co-counsel in accordance with applicable agreements. For the avoidance of doubt, all Attorneys that are part of such groups must meet the eligibility criteria in paragraph III.G.3, must be subject to the criteria set forth in subsection III.C, and must be disclosed to the Fee Panel.

2. An Applicant may apply for and recover attorneys' fees from the Common Benefit Fund, the Contingency Fee Fund, and any fund created by a past or future State Back-Stop Agreement, provided the Applicant satisfies the requirements relevant to each such fund and requirements for disclosure to the Fee Panel.
3. An Attorney may not receive any payment from the Attorney Fee Fund (which includes both the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund) unless the following eligibility criteria are met and annually certified by the Attorney:
  - a. The Attorney must expressly waive the enforcement against the Litigating Subdivision client of all Fee Entitlements (other than under State Back-Stop Agreements) arising out of or related to any or all Qualifying Representations of any Participating Litigating Subdivision prior to applying for attorneys' fees from the Attorney Fee Fund. All applications for attorneys' fees under this Fee Agreement shall include an affirmation by the Attorney of such waiver and notice to the client(s) of such waiver. Such waiver shall not preclude the Attorney from submitting such Fee Entitlements to the Fee Panel as a factor for consideration in allocating payments from the Attorney Fee Fund or in connection with a State Back-Stop Agreement. For the avoidance of doubt, no Attorney may recover fees under this Fee Agreement unless the Attorney expressly agrees not to enforce Fee Entitlements as to each and every Participating Litigating Subdivision represented by that Attorney, but such Attorneys may participate in and receive funds from a State Back-Stop Agreement.
  - b. The Attorney must represent that s/he has no present intent to represent or participate in the representation of any Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State with respect to Released Claims against Released Entities.
  - c. The Attorney must represent that s/he has not and will not engage in any advertising or solicitation related to Released Claims against Released Entities where such advertising or solicitation relates to a representation of a Subdivision eligible to be a Participating Subdivision after the Reference Date unless the Attorney is recommending participation in the Agreement.
  - d. The Attorney must represent s/he will not charge or accept any referral fees for any Released Claims brought against Released Entities by Later Litigating Subdivisions or Later Litigating States. This representation shall not prohibit Attorneys from receiving allocated shares of any future common benefit assessments arising out of settlements or judgments with Later Litigating Subdivisions or Later Litigating States that are the result of the MDL Court's Common Benefit order.
  - e. The Attorney may not have and must represent that s/he does not have a Fee Entitlement related to a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, other than a potential Common Benefit Fee.

- f. The Attorney must fully disclose the participation, or the anticipation of participation, in any agreement with a Settling State or Participating Subdivision concerning fees arising out of or related to the Teva Agreement or Allergan Agreement, including any fees paid or anticipated to be paid or any State Back-Stop Agreement.
  - g. The Attorney must identify for the Fee Panel whether s/he utilized state litigation work product or MDL work product, including but not limited to ARCOS data, document repositories, experts developed in the MDL, trial transcripts, or deposition transcripts. The Attorney must identify whether s/he signed the MDL Participation Agreement.
  - h. Any Attorney who applies for fees from one or both Funds must represent that, having exercised his/her independent judgment, s/he believes the Teva Agreement and the Allergan Agreement to be fair and will make or has made best efforts to recommend both Agreements to his or her Subdivision clients in Settling States. For avoidance of doubt, each Attorney is expected to exercise his or her independent judgment in the best interest of each client individually before determining whether to recommend joining the settlement. All applications for attorneys' fees or costs under this subsection shall include an affirmation by the Attorney in compliance with the foregoing subparagraphs.
- 4. No Attorney receiving fees under this Fee Agreement may apply for or recover from the Attorney Fee Fund fees arising from representing a Non-Participating Subdivision except Common Benefit Fees as described in paragraph III.E.2. All applications for attorneys' fees under this subsection shall include an affirmation by the Attorney of compliance with this paragraph.
- 5. An Attorney who has filed an application under this subsection and received an award of attorneys' fees shall provide a certification of compliance with the requirements of this Fee Agreement annually during the years upon which they are still entitled to receive attorneys' fee payments under this Agreement. This certification will be done as directed by the Panel.
- 6. If, at any time, the Attorney is unable to make the representations set forth in this subsection, such representations become untrue, or the Attorney falsely represents compliance with the eligibility criteria, the Attorney shall cease to be eligible to receive funds from the Attorney Fee Fund until further review by the Fee Panel of the Attorney's eligibility under and compliance with this subsection.
- 7. If an Attorney has a Fee Entitlement with a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State or otherwise becomes unable to reaffirm compliance with the eligibility criteria set forth above, the Attorney shall notify the Fee Panel. For the avoidance of doubt, any Attorney who undertakes any new representation of, or has a Fee Entitlement with, a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State shall be prohibited from receiving any future funds from the Attorney Fee Fund.

If an Attorney fails to notify the Fee Panel of such Fee Entitlement with a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, the Attorney shall be required to refund amounts previously paid. The Fee Panel shall notify Teva and Allergan when it receives notification.

8. To the extent an Attorney who has received compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund based on Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions under the Teva Agreement or the Allergan Agreement, represents a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, such Attorney shall be obligated to refund such amounts received as compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund to Teva or Allergan. Teva, Allergan, or such Attorney may bring any dispute as to whether such Attorney shall be obligated to refund such amounts received from the Attorney Fee Fund to Allergan or Teva to the Fee Panel. Nothing herein shall require a multi-attorney law firm that has received compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund to refund such amounts if an attorney of the firm that is no longer affiliated with such law firm, after such departure, represents a Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State, provided that (a) neither the law firm nor any of its other attorneys have any contractual or financial arrangement regarding, stand to benefit directly or indirectly from, or directly or indirectly provide financial or other support of any kind to, the former attorney's representation of the Later Litigating Subdivision or Later Litigating State and (b) if the former attorney was a partner or owner of the multi-attorney law firm at the time that the law firm received compensation from the Attorney Fee Fund, the former attorney shall be obligated to refund such amounts as the former attorney earned as a result of the compensation that the law firm received from the Attorney Fee Fund.
9. In the event that an Attorney is deemed ineligible by the Fee Panel (whether based on its initial application or subsequent recertification), the Fee Panel shall provide notice to the Attorney and give the Attorney 30 days to provide additional information such that the Fee Panel could re-consider the Attorney's eligibility.
10. To the extent that an Attorney has a Fee Entitlement with a Participating Subdivision and is authorized to bring Released Claims against Released Entities, but such authorization is, in scope, less broad than the category of Released Claims set forth in the Teva Agreement or Allergan Agreement, such Attorney may participate fully in both the Contingency Fee Fund and the Common Benefit Fund, without any reduction imposed by the Fee Panel due to the scope of the authorization, so long as the Participating Subdivision fully releases all Released Claims against Released Entities.
11. Attorneys applying to the Attorney Fee Fund knowingly and expressly agree to be bound by the decisions of the Fee Panel, subject to the limited appeal rights set forth in this Fee Agreement, and waive the ability to assert the lack of enforceability of the allocation reached through the procedures outlined herein.
12. Applicants are under an ongoing obligation to inform the Fee Panel in writing of any additional fees earned, expected, or received related to any Opioid litigation throughout the period of the Fee Panel's operation.

H. *Calculation of Amounts Due.*

1. The Fee Panel shall be solely responsible for determining the amount of fees to be paid to each Applicant. None of the Released Entities shall have any responsibility, obligation, or liability of any kind whatsoever with respect to how attorneys' fees are calculated under this subsection, except that the Fee Panel may receive information from Teva or Allergan as to (a) the identity of Participating, Non-Participating, Litigating, Later Litigating, and Non-Litigating Subdivisions; (b) the impact of non-participation by a Litigating Subdivision as is relevant to the Fee Panel's determination in paragraph III.E.6; and (c) such other information as Teva or Allergan may voluntarily elect to provide.
2. The Fee Panel shall establish procedures for making determinations under this Fee Agreement consistent with this Fee Agreement and orders of the MDL Court. Such procedures may include submission of documentary and/or other evidence, interviews with Applicants and/or other counsel (including counsel for Teva and Allergan) that the Fee Panel deems appropriate, and/or other means of creating a record upon which fee awards will be based.
3. In making determinations under this Fee Agreement, the Fee Panel must apply the eligibility criteria set forth in subsection III.G of this Fee Agreement and the criteria set forth in paragraph III.E.2. In addition, the Fee Panel will give consideration in regard to Common Benefit Fund awards to the *Johnson* factors, as well as the following factors (which factors may be applied and given relative weight in the Fee Panel's discretion):
  - a. The Applicant's contemporaneously recorded time and labor dedicated to Qualifying Representations along with the Applicant's financial commitment to such Qualifying Representations. Claimed "time" will not be automatically accepted by the Fee Panel but will be critically reviewed and given substantially more weight and consideration if such time was subject to the audit process described in any Pretrial Order(s) governing the collection of common benefit time;
  - b. The novelty, time, and complexity of the Qualifying Representations;
  - c. The skill requisite to perform legal services properly and undesirability of the case;
  - d. The preclusion of other employment by the Applicant due to time dedicated to Qualifying Representations;
  - e. The Common Benefit, if any, alleged to have been conferred by the Applicant and whether such Common Benefit work product by that Applicant was used by others in parallel litigations against Released Entities whether within or outside the MDL, provided that any Applicant claiming that s/he substantially benefited cases other than those in which s/he entered an appearance as



counsel must substantiate such claims by proffering factual support, such as proper supporting affidavits or other documents as determined by the Fee Panel with input from Attorneys for Participating Litigating Subdivisions;

- f. Any “common detriment,” as set forth in paragraph III.E.6.
- g. Any contingent fee agreement or other Fee Entitlement with Participating Subdivisions, enforcement of which, except for State Back-Stop Agreements, are waived in conjunction with the application, the nature and extent of any work for those Participating Subdivisions, whether such Participating Subdivisions actively litigated and, if so, the nature and procedural history of such case(s);
- h. The experience, reputation, and ability of the Applicant;
- i. Whether the Applicant’s clients brought Released Claims against Released Entities;
- j. The status of discovery in cases primarily handled by the Applicant;
- k. The nature of any work by the Applicant on “bellwether” cases or cases that were similarly active in litigation;
- l. Any pressure points successfully asserted by the Applicant in cases against Teva or Allergan or any risk for Teva or Allergan created by the Applicant in cases against them;
- m. Any risk for defendants created by Applicants in cases against Teva or Allergan;
- n. Successful and unsuccessful motion practice in cases worked on by the Applicant;
- o. The date of filing of any cases filed by the Applicant;
- p. Obtaining consolidation of the litigation in the Applicant’s jurisdiction;
- q. The number and population of entities represented by the Applicant and the fees that would have been awarded under extinguished contingent fee arrangements;
- r. Whether the Applicant’s clients brought claims against Teva or Allergan;
- s. Whether the Applicant has had a leadership role in the litigation, whether in state or federal court;
- t. Whether the Applicant has had a leadership role in any negotiations aimed at resolving the litigation;

- u. Whether the Applicant's cases have survived motions to dismiss;
  - v. The extent to which the Applicant contributed to the work product used for the common benefit of opioids litigants, including, without limitation, work on ARCOS data, Prescription Data Monitoring Programs, IQVIA data, depositions, document production and analysis experts, motions, briefs and pleadings, trial preparations, and trials;
  - w. The extent to which litigation was done prior to and contributed to completion of settlement negotiations, as distinct from litigation that was done litigating after the announcement of the Teva Agreement or Allergan Agreement, such latter litigation both being of less value and potentially resulting a common detriment to the settlement process; and
  - x. Any other factors that the Fee Panel finds to be appropriate to consider after input from Applicants to the Attorney Fee Fund.
4. In the event that States and Subdivisions enter into an additional global settlement with a party or parties other than Teva or Allergan that is (a) under the jurisdiction of the MDL Court in MDL No. 2804, (b) creates a separate common benefit fund to be administered by the Fee Panel, and (c) unless the parties agree to another date, such agreement has an effective date prior to June 30, 2023, the Fee Panel may:
- a. Consolidate the Common Benefit approval process to include evaluation of all Common Benefit Applications for all settlements entered after November 14, 2022;
  - b. Determine the fair and equitable allocation of the Aggregate Common Benefit Fees that come after November 14, 2022;
  - c. Give consideration to the amount and timing of each settlement, including the amount and timing of Common Benefit Fees;
  - d. The Fee Panel shall abide by the applicable Attorney Fee Agreement in each of the Settlements in Allocating the Common Benefit Fees provided for in the Settlement; and
  - e. Be guided in their work by the Orders of the Court related to Fees and Costs.
5. The Fee Panel shall develop procedures for receiving a single application, which may be updated or amended based on new information (such as participation by additional Litigating Subdivisions) from each Applicant seeking compensation from each sub fund of the Attorney Fee Fund pursuant to processes and procedures developed by the Fee Panel, which shall not be inconsistent with this Fee Agreement. Any request for attorneys' fees not included on the single application or through the updating/amendment process designed by the Fee Panel

shall be deemed waived. For purposes of transparency and to permit the Fee Panel to conduct its work, the application from each Applicant shall, at a minimum, require each Applicant to:

- a. Identify all Litigating Subdivisions for which s/he is seeking payment from the Attorney Fee Fund;
  - b. Identify all Subdivisions in both Settling and Non-Settling States (and, where applicable, Tribal Nations) with respect to which s/he has a Fee Entitlement with respect to Relevant Claims against Released Entities, and identify all co-counsel in such cases;
  - c. Identify which of those Subdivisions are Participating Subdivisions and which are not (with similar information for Tribal Nations, where applicable);
  - d. Specify the specific fund or funds within the Attorney Fee Fund from which the Attorney is seeking compensation;
  - e. Demonstrate his or her eligibility for compensation from the relevant sub funds within the Attorney Fee Fund pursuant to the criteria set forth for the relevant sub fund;
  - f. Identify any and all Fee Entitlements from representations of States, Tribal Nations, or other plaintiffs related to Released Claims against Released Entities or in opioids-related matters;
  - g. Notwithstanding “a-f” above, the Panel may consider a supplemental application if the Applicant shows good cause why circumstances exist that will lead to consideration for additional Common Benefit award. Examples would include, but are not limited to, an Applicant having Non-Participating Litigating Subdivision clients that subsequently become Participating Subdivisions, a Bar Date passes that increases participation or the Participation Tier, or an Allocation Agreement is reached.
6. With respect to the Common Benefit Fund, the Fee Panel shall (subject to any applicable MDL Court Order):
- a. Review the applications of all Applicants seeking compensation from the Common Benefit Fund, including determining eligibility for each Applicant as set forth in subsection III.G.
  - b. Reduce, on an annual basis, Teva’s or Allergan’s payment obligations, as set forth in paragraph III.E.7. The Panel shall inform Teva or Allergan, and the MDL PEC of all such amounts and adjust payment obligations accordingly.
  - c. Using criteria set forth in subsections III.E and III.H, allocate amounts from the Common Benefit Fund to eligible Applicants, including payment amounts for each Payment Year. In making such allocations, the Panel shall apply the

principles set forth in paragraph III.E.6 and shall allocate any reduction in the payments specified in paragraph III.E.7 to the amounts paid to Applicants with a Common Benefit Fee Entitlement.

7. With respect to the Contingency Fee Fund, the Fee Panel shall:
  - a. Review the applications of all Attorneys seeking compensation from the Litigating Subdivision Fee Fund, including determining eligibility for each Attorney as set forth in subsection III.G.
  - b. Apply the Mathematical Model in Exhibit A.
  - c. Use such allocations to reduce on an annual basis the payment obligations of Teva or Allergan to the Attorney Fee Fund as set forth in paragraph III.F.4, and distributions therefrom, and inform Teva or Allergan and the MDL PEC of all such adjustments.
8. To the extent that there is a dispute about the calculations of the Fee Panel related to the amounts that Teva or Allergan is required to pay (including application of any reductions or offsets under this Fee Agreement), such disputes shall be presented to the Fee Panel and any disputed funds be paid into/held in escrow. The Fee Panel shall resolve such disputes expeditiously, with either Party having the right to seek review from the MDL Court.
9. For purposes of determination of fee or cost awards, allocations, reductions, and possible reversions under this Fee Agreement, unless specified otherwise a Subdivision will be considered a Non-Participating Subdivision if it is not a Participating Subdivision as of the deadline for the application for the fee at issue (or, if the determination does not involve a specific application, the date on which the record for such determination closes).
10. In the event that the Fee Panel, through the use of the Mathematical Model set forth in Exhibit A, allocates funds from the Contingency Fee Fund for an Attorney based on a Qualifying Representation of a Participating Litigating Subdivision and that Subdivision is in a Settling State in which the Consent Judgment has not been approved, such funds shall be placed into escrow until the Consent Judgment is approved, after which time they shall be released.

I. *Miscellaneous.*

1. The Fee Panel shall charge an hourly rate approved by the Court. The Pre-Effective Date costs associated with the Cost and Expense Fund Administrator shall be paid from funds in the Cost Fund. Post-Effective Date, the cost of the Fee Panel shall be charged against the applicable Fee Fund based on allocation by the Fee Panel and shall not be otherwise funded by Teva and Allergan.
2. The MDL PEC shall provide to Teva and Allergan information they have that identifies Attorneys who represent Litigating Subdivisions who are not

Participating Subdivisions and who have an obligation to pay a common benefit assessment, either due to the MDL Court's orders or pursuant to a Participation Agreement.

3. Participating Subdivisions agree to instruct their counsel to treat information, work product and expert materials as confidential under Rule 1.6 of the ABA Model Rules of Professional Conduct. Accordingly, an Attorney shall not share information or work product with, or experts or materials to, non-participants (other than the Attorney's own current clients or their lawyers, consultants, experts or other representatives or agents). However, nothing herein shall prevent MDL Leadership or PEC Counsel from fulfilling their obligations in any MDL and the MDL Court Order.

#### **IV. Miscellaneous**

- A. *Termination.* If the Teva Agreement or Allergan Agreement does not proceed past the Reference Date, whether because Teva and Allergan do not determine to proceed or for any other reason, this Fee Agreement shall be null and void, neither Teva nor Allergan shall have any obligation to make any payments under this Fee Agreement other than Fee Panel costs advanced, and Teva, Allergan, and the PEC shall take such steps as are necessary to restore the *status quo ante*.
- B. *MDL Court Consideration.* This Fee Agreement shall be attached as an exhibit to the Teva Agreement and Allergan Agreement. This Fee Agreement shall also be submitted by Teva, Allergan, and the MDL PEC to the MDL Court for approval pursuant to the motion and order that shall be attached, prior to the Preliminary Agreement Date of the Agreements, as Exhibit B.
  1. In the event that the MDL Court, through an order, makes any change to the amounts potentially to be paid by Teva and Allergan under this Fee Agreement, makes any change to the Fee Panel's consideration of the factors set forth in paragraph III.E.6, or any other material change to the draft Order attached as part of Exhibit B or the terms of this Fee Agreement, Teva, Allergan, and the MDL PEC shall meet and confer concerning such changes.
  2. If Teva, Allergan, and the MDL PEC are unable to reach agreement and revisions to this Fee Agreement, this Fee Agreement shall be null and void, Teva and Allergan shall have no obligation to make any payments under this Fee Agreement, and Teva, Allergan, and the MDL PEC shall take such steps as are necessary to restore the *status quo ante*.
- C. *Amendment.* Once the MDL Court has entered an order implementing this Fee Agreement, this Fee Agreement can only be amended by (1) written agreement of Teva, Allergan, and the MDL PEC and (2) approval by the MDL Court.
- D. *Jurisdiction and Enforcement.* The MDL Court shall have exclusive and ongoing jurisdiction over the enforcement and implementation of this Fee Agreement as set forth herein. The MDL PEC shall be the Authorized Party to enforce this Fee

Agreement, as to the payment obligations of Teva and Allergan as set forth in this subsection and as to Attorneys making application to the Funds under this Fee Agreement. Solely for purposes of assessing or allocating common benefit fees, the MDL Court will continue to have jurisdiction over the work product developed in the MDL Court by and under the direction of the MDL PEC with respect to claims against Teva or Allergan, including data and documents, depositions, expert reports, briefs and pleadings; and the MDL Court's protective orders, management orders, and other decisions regarding such discovery and other work product, including but not limited to, conditions on its use, will continue in full force and effect. Nothing in this subsection authorizes the MDL Court to act contrary to this Agreement or provides the MDL Court with jurisdiction over the Teva Agreement or Allergan Agreement.

## **Description of Mathematical Model for the Allocation of the Contingency Fee Funds**

### **Allergan Settlement Agreement and Teva Settlement Agreement**

This document describes the Mathematical Model for the allocation of the Contingency Fee Fund described in Exhibit R (Agreement of Attorney's Fees, Expenses and Costs) to the Allergan Settlement Agreement and Teva Settlement Agreement, respectively.<sup>1</sup> Awards of fees from the Contingency Fee Funds shall be available to Attorneys engaged in Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions that meet the eligibility criteria.<sup>2</sup> A Fee Panel shall oversee the application of the Model and resolve any questions or disputes concerning the eligibility of a counsel to participate. The Panel is empowered to hear disputes concerning and ensure the accuracy of the mathematical calculations.

In general terms, allocation of the Contingency Fee Fund shall be made by (1) determining the amount of the Settlement Fund that is attributable to each Litigating Subdivision; (2) making certain adjustments to these amounts based on when the Subdivision filed suit and the terms of the applicable fee contract; and (3) dividing the Contingency Fee Fund proportionately among counsel for each Participating Litigating Subdivision based on the amounts calculated in subpart 2.

The total amount of the Contingency Fee Funds is \$195,364,179.86, of which \$70,046,168.84 is to be contributed by Allergan<sup>3</sup> and \$125,318,011.02 is to be contributed by Teva.<sup>4</sup> Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions that timely named one or more Released Entities of either Settlement Agreement and meet the other eligibility requirements shall receive the full amount due from the combined Contingency Fee Funds.<sup>5</sup>

Allocation of the Contingency Fee Funds shall be made according to the following steps. These calculations are made only to determine the percentage share of the Contingency Fee Funds that Attorneys for each Participating Litigating Subdivision would receive, *not* for determining the dollar amount each Subdivision would receive.

- (1) For each Settling State, attribute 50% of the settlement funds for that State to its Subdivisions according to the Subdivision Allocation Percentage in Exhibit G to the Allergan Settlement Agreement or Teva Settlement Agreement.

Illustrative example for the Allergan Settlement Agreement:

---

<sup>1</sup> See Allergan Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § III.D.3; Teva Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § III.D.3.

<sup>2</sup> Allergan Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § III.F.2; Teva Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § III.F.2.

<sup>3</sup> Allergan Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § III.F.1.

<sup>4</sup> Teva Settlement Agreement, Exhibit R § III.F.1.

<sup>5</sup> For example, Attorneys with Qualifying Representations whose suits named Teva, but did not name Allergan, shall be treated the same as Attorneys whose suits named Allergan but did not name Teva. Furthermore, Attorneys whose suits named both Allergan and Teva shall be treated the same as Attorneys who named only Teva or Allergan.

- The combined Net Abatement Amount is \$4,984,715,862 (\$1,799,186,751 for Allergan and \$3,185,529,111 for Teva, including the cash value of the product). See Allergan Settlement Agreement, Exhibit M-1 and Teva Settlement Agreement, Exhibits M-1 and M-2.
- Assume that State A is allocated 1.00000% of the \$1,799,186,751 Allergan Net Abatement Amount.
- 50% of the 1% share allocated to State A is \$8,995,933.76.
- Assume that, per Exhibit G of the Agreement, the Subdivision Allocation Percentage for City B in State A is 1.00000000%.
- For purposes of determining its counsel's share of the Contingency Fee Fund, City B is attributed 1.00000000% of \$8,995,933.76, or \$89,959.34.
- These steps would need to be repeated for the Teva Settlement Agreement since the state allocation percentages are different in that agreement.

(2) Adjust the amounts in paragraph 1 as follows:

- a. *Upward Adjustment for Early Filers.* Increase the amount calculated in paragraph 1 above by 10% for any Litigating Subdivision that named a Released Entity in a suit before December 5, 2017, the date the National Prescription Opiate Litigation MDL was formed. This adjustment must be done individually for each defendant. If the Litigating Subdivision did not name a Released Entity in a suit before December 2, 2022, then fees from the Contingency Fee Fund will not be awarded for otherwise Qualifying Representations of that Participating Litigating Subdivision.

Illustrative Example:

- Assume City C is attributed \$1,000,000 under paragraph 1 above.
- If City C named the defendant(s) before 12/5/2017, the attributed amount would be adjusted to \$1,100,000.

- b. *Determine Amount Due under Contingency Fee Contract.* Determine the amount that would be due to Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of each Participating Litigating Subdivision under the terms of the applicable fee contract if the Participating Litigating Subdivision were to receive the amount calculated in paragraph 2.a. This amount can be referred to as the Contingency Fee Assumption.

Illustrative Example:

Continuing the example given in paragraph 2.a, if Attorneys have a 20% contingency fee contract with City C for the relevant litigation, the amount calculated in this step would be 20% of \$1,100,000, or \$220,000.



In the next step, the Contingency Fee Assumption is used to determine the percentage share of the Contingency Fee Fund due to Attorneys for each Participating Litigating Subdivision.

(3) Divide the Contingency Fee Fund proportionately among Attorneys for each Participating Litigating Subdivision in two ways:

- a. *National Fee Pool Calculation.* Determine each Litigating Subdivision's percentage share of all amounts due under contingency fee contracts nationwide by dividing the Contingency Fee Assumption calculated for each Subdivision in paragraph 2.b by the sum of all Contingency Fee Assumptions. Then multiply that percentage by the total amount of the Contingency Fee Funds to figure each Subdivision's dollar share of the Contingency Fee Funds.

Illustrative example:

- $\$220,000$  [from para. 2.b]  $\div$   $\$500,000,000$  [total amount owed under contingency fee contracts nationwide] =  $0.044\%$ <sup>6</sup>
- $0.044\% * \$195,364,179.86$  [Contingency Fee Funds] =  $\$85,960.24$

- b. *Separate State Fee Pools Calculation.* Determine each Litigating Subdivision's percentage share of all amounts due under contingency fee contracts statewide by dividing the Contingency Fee Assumption calculated for each Subdivision in paragraph 2.b by the sum of all Contingency Fee Assumptions in the same State. Then multiply that percentage by the portion of the Contingency Fee Fund that corresponds to that State's Overall Allocation Percentage, shown in Exhibit F of the relevant Settlement Agreement, to figure each Subdivision's dollar share of the Contingency Fee Fund.

Illustrative example:


- $1\% * \$195,364,179.86 = \$1,953,641.80$  [amount of the Contingency Fee Fund corresponding to State A]
- Assume a total of  $\$6,000,000$  is owed under contingency fee contracts for State A.
- $\$220,000$  [from para. 2.b]  $\div$   $\$6,000,000 = 3.6666667\%$
- $3.6666667\% * \$1,953,641.80 = \$71,633.53$

---

<sup>6</sup> In this example, \$500 million is the amount theoretically owed under all contingency fee contracts for litigation against Released Entities as calculated in paragraph 2.b. This amount is illustrative only; the actual amount will not be known until all litigating subdivisions are identified and the terms of all of their contingency fee contracts are collected.

The award of fees to Attorneys with Qualifying Representations of Participating Litigating Subdivisions will be the average of the final amounts calculated in paragraphs 3.a and 3.b above less any amounts the Fee Panel is authorized to, and does, withhold.<sup>7</sup>

Paragraph 3.a represents allocation based on a proportional share of a National Fee Pool, while paragraph 3.b represents allocation based on a proportional share of the Separate State Fee Pools. In other words, for the National Fee Pool described above in paragraph 3.a, the contingency fee contract rate is compared to all other contingency fee contract rates in the nation. For the Separate State Fee Pools described above in paragraph 3.b, the contingency fee contract terms are compared to the other contingency fee contract terms in that same State. The National Fee Pool and the Separate State Fee Pools are given equal weighting. This is illustrated below.



Using the first methodology, Attorneys for two Subdivisions in different States with the same amount calculated under paragraph 2.b would be assigned the same amount under paragraph 3.a. Using the second methodology, Attorneys for the same two Subdivisions would be assigned different amounts under paragraph 3.b because they are in different States. Specifically, the Subdivision in the State with a smaller proportion of Litigating Subdivisions would be allocated more than the Subdivision in the State with a greater proportion of Litigating Subdivisions.

---

<sup>7</sup> The model also enforces a maximum fee award of 20% of the amount calculated in 2.b. This rule is designed to prevent windfalls by addressing over-allocation in a small number of states with relatively few Litigating Subdivisions. The description in this document of the Mathematical Model is by necessity an abstraction; the precise contours of the calculations are defined in the model itself.